VERMONT LAW SCHOOL



Environmental and Natural Resources Law Clinic Vermont Law School, PO Box 96, Chelsea Street South Royalton, VT 05068-0096

.802-831-1630 (phone) • 802-831-1631 (fax)

October 8, 2010

Chief, Section of Administration Office of Proceedings Surface Transportation Board Washington, D.C. 20423-0001

Re: Northern Plains Resources Council's and Mark Fix's Petition to Reopen the Record and Request that the Board Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and Reconsider Its Final Decision Approving the Tongue River Railroad in Light of Substantial Changes in Circumstances and New Evidence that Materially Affect the Board's Prior Determination of Public Convenience and Necessity

STB Finance Docket No. 30186

Dear Administration Chief,

Enclosed please find for filing:

• Petitioners Northern Plains Resource Council and Mark Fix's Request for Oral Argument with accompanying Certificate of Service (1 original, 10 copies).

Please contact our office with any questions. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely

Patrick Parenteau, Esq.

Environmental and Natural Resources Law Clinic

Vermont Law School

P.O. Box 96, 164 Chelsea Street

South Royalton, VT 05068

(802) 831-1630

pparenteau@vermontlaw.edu

enclosures

cc: TRRC Counsel

ENTERED
Office of Proceedings

OCT 12 2010

Part of Public Record

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD WASHINGTON, DC 20423

STB Finance Docket No. 30186 (Sub-No. 3)¹



TONGUE RIVER RAILROAD COMPANY, INC. CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION – WESTERN ALIGNMENT

PETITIONERS NORTHERN PLAINS RESOURCE COUNCIL AND MARK FIX'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 49 C.F.R.§ 1116.1, by and through counsel Environmental and Natural Resources Law Clinic, Petitioners Northern Plains Resource Council and Mark Fix respectfully submit this Request for Oral Argument in the above-captioned matter. Counsel believes that oral argument maybe helpful to the Board because the pleadings reveal material disputes over the state of the record, how previous rulings by the Board should be interpreted, and what the controlling legal standards are for supplementing the EIS. Oral argument would assist the Board in sorting through these conflicting claims.

DATED: October 8, 2010 South Royalton, VT

Respectfully submitted,

Patrick Parenteau, Esq. Jack Tuholske, Esq.

pparenteau@vermontlaw.edu

pparemeau@vermonnaw.edu

Environmental and Natural Resources Law Clinic

Vermont Law School

P.O. Box 96, Chelsea Street

South Royalton, VT 05068

(802) 831-1305

pparenteau@vermontlaw.edu

¹ This decision, and consequently this Request, also embraces Tongue River R.R.—Rail Construction and Operation—In Custer, Powder River and Rosebud Counties, MT, STB Finance Docket No. 30186 (Service Date May 9, 1986), and Tongue River R.R. Company—Rail Construction and Operation—Ashland to Decker, MT, STB Finance Docket No 30186 (Sub-No. 2) (Service Date Dec. 1, 1997).

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD WASHINGTON, DC 20423

)

•)	
TONGUE RIVER RAILROAD)	
COMPANY, INC.)	
CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION)	
WESTERN ALIGNMENT)	
, ,)	
	`	



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on October 8, 2010 a copy of Petitioners Northern Plains

Resources Council and Mark Fix's Request for Oral Argument, on behalf of Petitioners Northern

Plains Resources Council and Mark Fix were served via United States Postal Service first-class

mail, with adequate prepaid postage to counsel for Tongue River Railroad Company, Inc. as

follows:

Betty Jo Christian
David H. Coburn
Steptoe & Johnson LLP
1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

SIGNED and DATED at South Royalton, Vermont this 8th day of October, 2010.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND NATURAL

RESOURCES LAW CLINIC

By: Fatrick Parenteau, Esq.

Jack Tuholske, Esq.

Environmental and Natural Resources Law Clinic

Vermont Law School

P.O. Box 96, Chelsea Street

South Royalton, VT 05068

(802) 831-1305

pparenteau@vermontlaw.edu

Counsel for Petitioners